

# On the Brauer group of Enriques surfaces

Arnaud BEAUVILLE

## 1. Introduction

Let  $S$  be a complex Enriques surface, and  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  its 2-to-1 cover by a K3 surface. Poincaré duality provides an isomorphism  $H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ , so that there is a unique nontrivial element  $b_S$  in the Brauer group  $Br(S)$ . What is the pull-back of this element in  $Br(X)$ ? Is it nonzero?

The answer to the first question is easy in terms of the canonical isomorphism  $Br(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(T_X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  (see § 2):  $\pi^* b_S$  corresponds to the linear form  $\tau \mapsto (\beta \cdot \pi_* \tau)$ , where  $\beta$  is any element of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which does not come from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ . The second question turns out to be more subtle: the answer depends on the surface. We will characterize the surfaces  $S$  for which  $\pi^* b_S = 0$  (Corollary 5.7), and show that they form a countable union of hypersurfaces in the moduli space of Enriques surfaces (Corollary 6.5).

Part of our results hold over any algebraically closed field, and also in a more general set-up (see Proposition 4.1 below); for the last part, however, we need in a crucial way Horikawa's description of the moduli space by transcendental methods.

The question considered here is mentioned in [H-S], Cor. 2.8. I am indebted to J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for explaining it to me, and for very useful discussions and comments. I am grateful to J. Lannes for providing the topological proof of Lemma 5.4.

## 2. The Brauer group of a surface

Let  $S$  be a smooth projective variety over a field; we define the Brauer group  $Br(S)$  as the étale cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(S, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . This definition coincides with that of Grothendieck [G] by a result of Gabber, which we will not need here.

In this section we assume that  $S$  is a complex surface; we recall the description of  $Br(S)$  in that case – this is classical but not so easy to find in the literature. The Kummer exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{p} Br(S)[n] \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.a)$$

(we denote by  $M[n]$  the kernel of the multiplication by  $n$  in a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ ).

On the other hand, the cohomology exact sequence associated to  
 $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow 0$  gives:

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow H^3(S, \mathbb{Z})[n] \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.b)$$

Comparing (2.a) and (2.b) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n \longrightarrow \text{Br}(S)[n] \longrightarrow H^3(S, \mathbb{Z})[n] \rightarrow 0 . \quad (2.c)$$

Let  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}}$  be the quotient of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  by its torsion subgroup; the cup-product induces a perfect pairing on  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}}$ . We denote by  $T_S \subset H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}}$  the *transcendental lattice*, that is, the orthogonal of the image of  $\text{Pic}(S)$ . We have an exact sequence

$$\text{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{u} T_S^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $u$  associates to  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  the cup-product with  $\alpha$ . Taking tensor product with  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  and comparing with (2.c), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_S, \mathbb{Z}/n) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(S)[n] \longrightarrow H^3(S, \mathbb{Z})[n] \rightarrow 0 ; \quad (2.d)$$

or, passing to the direct limit over  $n$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(S) \longrightarrow \text{Tors } H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 . \quad (2.e)$$

### 3. Topology of Enriques surfaces

(3.1) Let  $S$  be an Enriques surface (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). We first recall some elementary facts on the topology of  $S$ . A general reference is [BHPV], ch. VIII.

The torsion subgroup of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ ; its nonzero element is the canonical class  $K_S$ . Let  $k_S$  denote the image of  $K_S$  in  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . The universal coefficient theorem together with Poincaré duality gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{k_S} H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{v_S} \text{Hom}(H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.a)$$

where  $v_S$  is deduced from the cup-product.

(3.2) The linear form  $\alpha \mapsto (k_S \cdot \alpha)$  on  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  vanishes on the image of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , hence coincides with the map  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  from the exact

sequence (2.b). Note that  $k_S$  is the second Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2(S)$ ; in particular, we have  $(k_S \cdot \alpha) = \alpha^2$  for all  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  (Wu formula, see [M-S]).

(3.3) The map  $c_1 : \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism, hence (2.e) provides an isomorphism  $\text{Br}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tors } H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ . We will denote by  $b_S$  the nonzero element of  $\text{Br}(S)$ .

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be the 2-to-1 cover of  $S$  by a K3 surface. The aim of this note is to study the pull-back  $\pi^* b_S$  in  $\text{Br}(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** — *The class  $\pi^* b_S$  is represented, through the isomorphism  $\text{Br}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(T_X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , by the linear form  $\tau \mapsto (\beta \cdot \pi_* \bar{\tau})$ , where  $\bar{\tau}$  is the image of  $\tau$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  and  $\beta$  any element of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which does not come from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof :* Let  $\beta$  be an element of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which does not come from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , so that  $p(\beta) = b_S$  (2.a). The pull-back  $\pi^* b_S \in \text{Br}(X)$  is represented by  $\pi^* \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ ; its image in  $\text{Hom}(T_X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is the linear form  $\tau \mapsto (\pi^* \beta \cdot \bar{\tau})$ . Since  $(\pi^* \beta \cdot \bar{\tau}) = (\beta \cdot \pi_* \bar{\tau})$ , the Proposition follows. ■

Part (i) of the following Proposition shows that the class  $\pi^* \beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which appears above is nonzero. This does *not* say that  $\pi^* b_S$  is nonzero, as  $\pi^* \beta$  could come from a class in  $\text{Pic}(X)$  — see § 6.

**Proposition 3.5.** — (i) *The kernel of  $\pi^* : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is  $\{0, k_S\}$ .*

(ii) *The Gysin map  $\pi_* : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective.*

*Proof :* To prove (i) we use the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence :

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^q(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(S, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

We have  $E_2^{1,1} = 0$ , and  $E_\infty^{2,0} = E_2^{2,0} = H^2(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Thus the kernel of  $\pi^* : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . Since it contains  $k_S$ , it is equal to  $\{0, k_S\}$ .

Let us prove (ii). Because of the formula  $\pi_* \pi^* \alpha = 2\alpha$ , the cokernel of  $\pi_* : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ -vector space; therefore it suffices to prove that the transpose map

$${}^t \pi_* : \text{Hom}(H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is injective. This is implied by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{v_S} & \text{Hom}(H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \\ \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow {}^t \pi_* \\ H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow[v_X]{\sim} & \text{Hom}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2) \end{array}$$

plus the fact that  $\text{Ker } \pi^* = \text{Ker } v_S = \{0, k_S\}$  (by (i) and (3.a)). ■

#### 4. Brauer groups and cyclic coverings

**Proposition 4.1.** – *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be an étale, cyclic covering of smooth projective varieties over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of the Galois group  $G$  of  $\pi$ , and let  $\text{Nm} : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$  be the norm homomorphism. The kernel of  $\pi^* : \text{Br}(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Ker } \text{Nm} / (1 - \sigma^*)(\text{Pic}(X))$ .*

*Proof:* We consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, H^q(X, \mathbb{G}_m)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(S, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

Since  $E_2^{2,0} = H^2(G, k^*) = 0$ , the kernel of  $\pi^* : \text{Br}(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$  is identified with  $E_\infty^{1,1} = \text{Ker}(d_2 : E_2^{1,1} \rightarrow E_2^{3,0})$ . We have  $E_2^{3,0} = H^3(G, k^*)$ ; by periodicity of the cohomology of  $G$ , this group is canonically isomorphic to  $H^1(G, k^*) = \text{Hom}(G, k^*)$ , the character group of  $G$ , which we denote by  $\widehat{G}$ . So we view  $d_2$  as a map from  $H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))$  to  $\widehat{G}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the endomorphism  $L \mapsto \bigotimes_{g \in G} g^* L$  of  $\text{Pic}(X)$ ; recall that  $H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ker } \mathbf{S} / \text{Im}(1 - \sigma^*)$ . We have  $\pi^* \text{Nm}(L) = \mathbf{S}(L)$  for  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ , hence  $\text{Nm}$  maps  $\text{Ker } \mathbf{S}$  into  $\text{Ker } \pi^* \subset \text{Pic}(S)$ . Now recall that  $\text{Ker } \pi^*$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\widehat{G}$ : to  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  corresponds the subsheaf  $L_\chi$  of  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$  where  $G$  acts through the character  $\chi$ . Since  $\text{Nm} \circ (1 - \sigma^*) = 0$ , the norm induces a homomorphism  $H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi^* \cong \widehat{G}$ . The Proposition will follow from:

**Lemma 4.2.** – *The map  $d_2 : H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  coincides with the homomorphism induced by the norm.*

*Proof of the lemma:* We apply the formalism of [S], Proposition 1.1, where a very close situation is considered. This Proposition, together with property (1) which follows it, tells us that  $d_2$  is given by cup-product with the extension class in  $\text{Ext}_G^2(\text{Pic}(X), k^*)$  of the exact sequence of  $G$ -modules

$$1 \rightarrow k^* \longrightarrow R_X^* \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $R_X$  is the field of rational functions on  $X$ . This means that  $d_2$  is the composition

$$H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(G, R_X^*/k^*) \xrightarrow{\partial'} H^3(G, k^*)$$

where  $\partial$  and  $\partial'$  are the coboundary maps associated to the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R_X^*/k^* \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow R_X^* \rightarrow R_X^*/k^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\lambda \in H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))$ , represented by  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  with  $\bigotimes_{g \in G} g^*L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  such that  $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ . Then  $\sum_g g^*D$  is the divisor of a rational function  $\psi \in R_X^*$ , whose class in  $R_X^*/k^*$  is well-defined. This class is invariant under  $G$ , and defines the element  $\partial(\lambda) \in H^2(G, R_X^*/k^*)$ . Since  $\text{div } \psi$  is invariant under  $G$ , there exists a character  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $g^*\psi = \chi(g)\psi$  for each  $g \in G$ . Then  $d_2^{1,1}(\lambda) = \chi$  viewed as an element of  $H^3(G, k^*) = \widehat{G}$ .

It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D) = L_\chi$ . Since  $\text{div } (\psi) = \pi^*\pi_*D$ , multiplication by  $\psi$  induces a global isomorphism  $u : \pi^*\mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $\varphi \in R_X$  be a generator of  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  on an open  $G$ -invariant subset  $U$  of  $X$ . Then  $\text{Nm}(\varphi)$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D)$  on  $\pi(U)$ , and  $\pi^*\text{Nm}(\varphi)$  is a generator of  $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D)$  on  $U$ ; the function  $h := \psi \pi^*\text{Nm}(\varphi)$  on  $U$  satisfies  $g^*h = \chi(g)h$  for all  $g \in G$ . This proves that the homomorphism  $u^\flat : \mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  deduced from  $u$  maps  $\mathcal{O}_S(\pi_*D)$  onto the subsheaf  $L_\chi$  of  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ , hence our assertion. ■

We will need a complement of the Proposition in the complex case:

**Corollary 4.3.** – Assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The map  $\pi^* : \text{Br}(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$  is injective;
- (ii) Every class  $\lambda = c_1(L) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , with  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and  $\pi_*\lambda = 0$ , belongs to  $(1 - \sigma^*)(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ .

Observe that the hypotheses of the Corollary are satisfied when  $S$  is a complex Enriques surfaces and  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  its universal cover.

*Proof :* By Proposition 4.1 (i) is equivalent to  $[L] = 0$  in  $H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X))$  for every  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  with  $\text{Nm}(L) = \mathcal{O}_S$ , while (ii) means  $c_1(L) = 0$  in  $H^1(G, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$  for every such  $L$ . Therefore it suffices to prove that the map

$$H^1(c_1) : H^1(G, \text{Pic}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(G, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$$

is injective.

Since  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } Q \subset H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Since  $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ , there is no nonzero invariant vector in  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , hence in  $Q$ . Then the associated long exact sequence implies that  $H^1(c_1)$  is injective. ■

## 5. More topology

(5.1) As in § 3, we denote by  $S$  a complex Enriques surface and by  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  its universal cover. Thus  $X$  is a K3 surface, with a fixed-point free involution  $\sigma$  such

that  $\pi \circ \sigma = \pi$ . We will need some more precise results on the topology of the surfaces  $X$  and  $S$ . We refer again to [BHPV], ch. VIII.

Let  $E$  be the lattice  $(-E_8) \oplus H$ , where  $H$  is the rank 2 hyperbolic lattice. Let  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}}$  be the quotient of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  by its torsion subgroup  $\{0, K_S\}$ . We have isomorphisms

$$H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}} \cong E \quad H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong E \oplus E \oplus H$$

such that  $\pi^* : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is identified with the diagonal embedding  $\delta : E \hookrightarrow E \oplus E$ , and  $\sigma^*$  is identified with the involution

$$\rho : (\alpha, \alpha', \beta) \mapsto (\alpha', \alpha, -\beta) \quad \text{of } E \oplus E \oplus H .$$

(5.2) We consider now the cohomology with values in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . For a lattice  $M$ , we will write  $M_2 := M/2M$ . The scalar product of  $M$  induces a product  $M_2 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ ; if moreover  $M$  is *even*, there is a natural quadratic form  $q : M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$  associated with that product, defined by  $q(m) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{m}^2$ , where  $\tilde{m} \in M$  is any lift of  $m \in M_2$ . In particular,  $H_2$  contains a unique element  $\varepsilon$  with  $q(\varepsilon) = 1$ : it is the class of  $e + f$  where  $(e, f)$  is a hyperbolic basis of  $H$ .

Using the previous isomorphism we identify  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  with  $E_2 \oplus E_2 \oplus H_2$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** — *The image of  $\pi^* : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is  $\delta(E_2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof :* This image is invariant under  $\sigma^*$ , hence is contained in  $\delta(E_2) \oplus H_2$ ; by Proposition 3.6 (i) it is 11-dimensional, hence a hyperplane in  $\delta(E_2) \oplus H_2$ , containing  $\delta(E_2)$  (which is spanned by the classes coming from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ ). So  $\pi^*H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  is spanned by  $\delta(E_2)$  and a nonzero element of  $H_2$ ; it suffices to prove that this element is  $\varepsilon$ . Since the elements of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  which do not come from  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  have square 1 (3.2), this is a consequence of the following lemma. ■

**Lemma 5.4.** — *For every  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ,  $q(\pi^*\alpha) = \alpha^2$ .*

*Proof :* This proof has been shown to me by J. Lannes. The key ingredient is the *Pontryagin square*, a cohomological operation

$$\mathcal{P} : H^{2m}(M, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^{4m}(M, \mathbb{Z}/4)$$

defined for any reasonable topological space  $M$  and satisfying a number of interesting properties (see [M-T], ch. 2, exerc. 1). We will state only those we need in the case of interest for us, namely  $m = 2$  and  $M$  is a compact oriented 4-manifold. We identify  $H^4(M, \mathbb{Z}/4)$  with  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ ; then  $\mathcal{P} : H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4$  satisfies:

- a) For  $\alpha \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ , the class of  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  is  $\alpha^2$ ;

b) If  $\alpha \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  comes from  $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = \tilde{\alpha}^2 \pmod{4}$ . In particular, if  $M$  is a K3 surface, we have  $\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = 2q(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ .

Coming back to our situation, let  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . We have in  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(\pi^*\alpha) &= 2\mathcal{P}(\alpha) && \text{by functoriality} \\ &= 2\alpha^2 && \text{by a), and} \\ \mathcal{P}(\pi^*\alpha) &= 2q(\pi^*\alpha) && \text{by b).}\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two last lines gives the lemma. ■

**Corollary 5.5.** – *The kernel of  $\pi_* : H_2 \rightarrow \{0, k_S\}$  is  $\{0, \varepsilon\}$ .*

*Proof :* By Proposition 5.3  $\varepsilon$  belongs to  $\text{Im } \pi^*$ , hence  $\pi_* \varepsilon = 0$ . It remains to check that  $\pi_*$  is nonzero on  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})) \cong H_2$ . We know that there is an element  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\pi_* \alpha = K_S$  (Prop. 3.6 (ii)); it belongs to  $\text{Ker}(1 + \sigma^*)$ , hence defines an element  $\bar{\alpha}$  of  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$  with  $\pi_* \bar{\alpha} \neq 0$ . ■

**Corollary 5.6.** – *Let  $\lambda \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\pi_* \lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda \notin (1 - \sigma^*)(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ ;
- (ii)  $\sigma^* \lambda = -\lambda$  and  $\lambda^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

*Proof :* Write  $\lambda = (\alpha, \alpha', \beta) \in E \oplus E \oplus H$ ; let  $\bar{\beta}$  be the class of  $\beta$  in  $H_2$ . Both conditions imply  $\sigma^* \lambda = -\lambda$ , hence  $\alpha' = -\alpha$ . Since  $(\alpha, -\alpha) = (1 - \sigma^*)(\alpha, 0)$  and  $2\beta = (1 - \sigma^*)(\beta)$ , the conditions of (i) are equivalent to  $\pi_* \bar{\beta} = 0$  and  $\bar{\beta} \neq 0$ , that is,  $\bar{\beta} = \varepsilon$  (Corollary 5.5). On the other hand we have  $\lambda^2 = 2\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \equiv 2q(\bar{\beta}) \pmod{4}$ , hence (ii) is also equivalent to  $\bar{\beta} = \varepsilon$ . ■

We can thus rephrase Corollary 4.3 in our situation:

**Corollary 5.7.** – *We have  $\pi^* b_S = 0$  if and only if there exists a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  with  $\sigma^* L = L^{-1}$  and  $c_1(L)^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .* ■

*Remark 5.8.* – My original proof of (5.3-5) was less direct and less general, but still perhaps of some interest. The key point is to show that on  $H_2$   $q$  takes the value 1 exactly on the nonzero element of  $\text{Ker } \pi_*$ , or equivalently that an element  $\alpha \in H_2$  with  $\pi_* \alpha = k_S$  satisfies  $q(\alpha) = 0$ . Using deformation theory (see (6.1) below), one can assume that  $\alpha$  comes from a class in  $\text{Pic}(X)$ . To conclude I applied the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.9.** – *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$  with  $\text{Nm}(L) = K_S$ . Then  $c_1(L)^2$  is divisible by 4.*

*Proof :* Consider the rank 2 vector bundle  $E = \pi_*(L)$ . The norm induces a non-degenerate quadratic form  $N : \text{Sym}^2 E \rightarrow K_S$  ([EGA2], 6.5.5). In particular,  $N$  induces an isomorphism  $E \xrightarrow{\sim} E^* \otimes K_S$ , and defines a pairing

$$H^1(S, E) \otimes H^1(S, E) \rightarrow H^2(S, K_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

which is alternating and non-degenerate. Thus  $h^1(E)$  is even; since  $h^0(E) = h^2(E)$  by Serre duality,  $\chi(E)$  is even, and so is  $\chi(L) = \chi(E)$ . By Riemann-Roch this implies that  $\frac{1}{2}c_1(L)^2$  is even. ■

## 6. The vanishing of $\pi^*b_S$ on the moduli space

(6.1) We briefly recall the theory of the period map for Enriques surfaces, due to Horikawa (see [BHPV], ch. VIII, or [N]). We keep the notations of (5.1). We denote by  $L$  the lattice  $E \oplus E \oplus H$ , and by  $L^-$  the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of the involution  $\rho : (\alpha, \alpha', \beta) \mapsto (\alpha', \alpha, -\beta)$ , that is, the submodule of elements  $(\alpha, -\alpha, \beta)$ .

A *marking* of the Enriques surface  $S$  is an isometry  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$  which conjugates  $\sigma^*$  to  $\rho$ . The line  $H^{2,0} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is anti-invariant under  $\sigma^*$ , so its image by  $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}}$  lies in  $L_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ . The corresponding point  $[\omega]$  of  $\mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}^-)$  is the *period*  $\varphi(S, \varphi)$ . It belongs to the domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}^-)$  defined by the equations

$$(\omega \cdot \omega) = 0 \quad (\omega \cdot \bar{\omega}) > 0 \quad (\omega \cdot \lambda) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in L^- \text{ with } \lambda^2 = -2.$$

This is an analytic manifold, which is the moduli space for marked Enriques surfaces. To each class  $\lambda \in L^-$  we associate the hypersurface  $H_{\lambda}$  of  $\Omega$  defined by  $(\lambda \cdot \omega) = 0$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** — *We have  $\pi^*b_S = 0$  if and only if  $\varphi(S, \varphi)$  belongs to one of the hypersurfaces  $H_{\lambda}$  for some vector  $\lambda \in L^-$  with  $\lambda^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof:* The period point  $\varphi(S, \varphi)$  belongs to  $H_{\lambda}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  belongs to  $c_1(\text{Pic}(X))$ ; by Corollary 5.7, this is equivalent to  $\pi^*b_S = 0$ . ■

To get a complete picture we want to know which of the  $H_{\lambda}$  are really needed:

**Lemma 6.3.** — *Let  $\lambda$  be a primitive element of  $L^-$ .*

- (i) *The hypersurface  $H_{\lambda}$  is non-empty if and only if  $\lambda^2 < -2$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mu$  is another primitive element of  $L^-$  with  $H_{\mu} = H_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mu = \pm\lambda$ .*

*Proof :* Let  $W$  be the subset of  $L_{\mathbb{C}}^-$  defined by the conditions  $\omega^2 = 0$ ,  $\omega \cdot \bar{\omega} > 0$ . If we write  $\omega = \alpha + i\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^-$ , these conditions translate as  $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$ . Thus  $W \cap \lambda^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$  is equivalent to the existence of a positive 2-plane in  $L_{\mathbb{R}}^-$  orthogonal to  $\lambda$ . Since  $L^-$  has signature  $(2, 10)$ , this is also equivalent to  $\lambda^2 < 0$ .

If  $W \cap \lambda^{\perp}$  is non-empty,  $\lambda^{\perp}$  is the only hyperplane containing it, and  $\mathbb{C}\lambda$  is the orthogonal of  $\lambda^{\perp}$  in  $L^-$ . Then  $\lambda$  and  $-\lambda$  are the only primitive vectors of  $L^-$  contained in  $\mathbb{C}\lambda$ . In particular  $\lambda$  is determined up to sign by  $H_{\lambda}$ , which proves (ii).

Let us prove (i). We have seen that  $H_{\lambda}$  is empty for  $\lambda^2 \geq 0$ , and also for  $\lambda^2 = -2$  by definition of  $\Omega$ . Assume  $\lambda^2 < -2$  and  $H_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ ; then  $H_{\lambda}$  must be contained in one of the hyperplanes  $H_{\mu}$  with  $\mu^2 = -2$ ; by (ii) this implies  $\lambda = \pm\mu$ , a contradiction. ■

(6.4) Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of isometries of  $L^-$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\Omega$ , and the quotient  $\mathcal{M} = \Omega/\Gamma$  is a quasi-projective variety. The image in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the period  $\varphi(S, \varphi)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi$ ; let us denote it by  $\varphi(S)$ . The map  $S \mapsto \varphi(S)$  induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of Enriques surfaces and  $\mathcal{M}$ ; the variety  $\mathcal{M}$  is a (coarse) moduli space for Enriques surfaces.

**Corollary 6.5 .—** *The surfaces  $S$  for which  $\pi^*b_S = 0$  form an infinite, countable union of (non-empty) hypersurfaces in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof :* Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of primitive elements  $\lambda$  in  $L^-$  with  $\lambda^2 < -2$  and  $\lambda^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . For  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  be the image of  $H_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ ; the argument of [BHPV], ch. VIII, Cor. 20.7 shows that  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$  is an algebraic hypersurface in  $\mathcal{M}$ . By Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 the surfaces  $S$  with  $\pi^*(b_S) = 0$  form the subset  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ . By Lemma 6.3 (ii) we have  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \mathcal{H}_\mu$  if and only if  $\mu = \pm g\lambda$  for some element  $g$  of  $\Gamma$ . This implies  $\lambda^2 = \mu^2$ ; but  $\lambda^2$  can be any number of the form  $-2k$  with  $k$  odd  $> 1$  (take for instance  $\lambda = e - kf$ , where  $(e, f)$  is a hyperbolic basis of  $H$ ), so there are infinitely many distinct hypersurfaces among the  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [BHPV] W. BARTH, K. HULEK, C. PETERS, A. VAN DE VEN: *Compact complex surfaces*. 2nd edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, **4**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).
- [EGA2] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique II*. *Publ. Math. IHES* **8** (1961).
- [G] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Le groupe de Brauer I-II*. *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas*, pp. 46–87; North-Holland, Amsterdam (1968).
- [H-S] D. HARARI, A. SKOROBOGATOV: *Non-abelian descent and the arithmetic of Enriques surfaces*. *Intern. Math. Res. Notices* **52** (2005), 3203–3228.
- [M-S] J. MILNOR, J. STASHEFF: *Characteristic classes*. *Annals of Math. Studies* **76**. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974).
- [M-T] R. MOSHER, M. TANGORA: *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*. Harper & Row, New York-London (1968).
- [N] Y. NAMIKAWA: *Periods of Enriques surfaces*. *Math. Ann.* **270** (1985), no. 2, 201–222.

[S] A. SKOROBOGATOV: *On the elementary obstruction to the existence of rational points.* Math. Notes **81** (2007), no. 1, 97–107.

Arnaud BEAUVILLE  
Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné  
UMR 6621 du CNRS  
UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE  
Parc Valrose  
F-06108 NICE Cedex 02